

PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
GENERAL INFORMATION TAB				
1. Date of interview	Date field	The reviewer will document the date of the interview with the provider.	Date PQR interview was completed with all provider staff selected for interview.	N
2. Interview completed with	Front-line supervisor Manager / Leadership QI Staff	The reviewer will select the staff member(s) interviewed	As you assess the facility setting, document the provider staff that you interview to obtain information. If during the span of the assessment of the setting, you interview additional staff from these categories, you must come back to this element and select the titles of the staff person(s).	N
3. Name(s) of interviewee(s)	Text field	The reviewer will enter the names of the staff members interviewed	Enter the names of the provider staff that you interviewed. Make note of which interviewee title the person corresponds to. For instance, you interviewed Jane Smith, who is QI Staff. Enter "Jane Smith, QI" in this section.	N
4. Date of the last documentation review for the current round.	Date field	The reviewer will enter the date of the last provider documentation review completed for this cycle of PQR review.	Enter the last date that PQR documentation was reviewed to score the tool elements. The reviewer should enter the date in this field when the PQR document review is complete and change if additional documents are submitted and reviewed post-PQR interview.	N
QI/RM TAB				•
5. Does the provider have a risk management plan?	Yes No	A ' Yes' rating is when the reviewer sees the provider has a risk management plan. A ' No ' rating is when the provider did not submit	The reviewer would score this element YES if the provider submitted evidence of a written risk management plan, per 12VAC35-105-520B The risk management plan does not have to be a stand- alone document it can be included in the QI Plan.	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		their risk management plan.		
6. Does the job description for the staff designated for risk management (RM) functions include the roles and responsibilities as listed in the provider's risk management plan?	Yes No	A ' Yes' rating is indicated when the provider submits the job description for the staff the provider has designated as the risk manager and includes the risk management roles and responsibilities listed in the risk management plan. A ' No ' rating is indicated when a job description is not provided or does not include RM functions.	 This element will open only if element 5 is scored YES. Job description for this employee must reflect that all or part of their responsibilities include those of the risk management function. The reviewer will assess the job description for the staff currently in the role designated as responsible for RM functions to ensure the roles and responsibilities match what is listed in the RM plan. 	Y
7. Has the staff designated as responsible for risk functions completed department- approved training with RM attestation?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated when the person designated as responsible for risk management functions has completed department-approved training with RM attestation. A ' No ' rating is indicated when the person designated as responsible for risk management functions has not completed department- approved training or the	The provider's designee responsible for the risk management function must complete department- approved training, which shall include training related to risk management, understanding of individual risk screening, conducting investigations, root cause analysis, and the use of data to identify risk patterns and trends. 12VAC35-150-520A	Y



PQR Tool Element		Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
			provider did not provide the RM attestation.		
8. Has the risk management plan been reviewed/updated in the past year as evidenced in the provider's annual systemic risk assessment?	ated in the ced in the	Yes No	A ' Yes' rating is indicated when there is evidence the provider completed an annual systemic risk assessment in conjunction with a risk management plan review/update within the past 12 months.	This element is conditional and will open only if element 5 is scored YES. If the provider did not complete an annual systemic risk assessment (12-VAC-35-105-520.C) <i>or</i> the annual systemic risk assessment was completed more than 12 months ago, the reviewer must score "No'.	Y
			A ' No' rating is indicated when the provider has not completed an annual systemic risk assessment in conjunction with a risk management plan review/update within the past 12 months.		
9. Has the provider's ri management plan b and dated in the pas	een signed	Yes No	A ' Yes' rating is indicated when the provider's risk management plan shows that it has been signed and dated within the past 12 months. A ' No ' rating is indicated when the provider risk management plan shows it	This element is conditional and will open only if element 5 is scored YES.	Y
			has not been signed and dated within the past 12 months.		



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
10. Does the provider have a	Yes	A 'Yes' rating is indicated	12VAC35-105-620	Y
quality improvement plan?	No	when the provider has a		
	🔲 QI Plan does not	quality improvement plan	A. The provider shall develop and implement written	
	meet the	that meets regulation	policies and procedures for a quality improvement	
	regulation	12VAC35-105-620 as	program sufficient to identify, monitor, and evaluate	
		defined in reviewer notes.	clinical and service quality and effectiveness on an	
			ongoing and systematic basis.	
		This is an all-or-nothing		
		element.	B. The quality improvement program shall utilize	
			standard quality improvement tools, including root	
		A 'No' rating is indicated	cause analysis, and shall include a quality improvement	
		when the provider has no	plan.	
		quality improvement plan		
		in place or did not submit a	C. The quality improvement plan shall:	
		plan for review.	 Be reviewed and updated at least annually; 	
			2. Define measurable goals and objectives;	
		The reviewer will select 'QI	3. Include and report on statewide performance	
		Plan does not meet the	measures, if applicable, as required by DBHDS; and	
		regulation' when the	4. Include ongoing monitoring and evaluation of	
		provider fails to provide a	progress toward meeting established goals and	
		document that meets	objectives.	
		regulation 12VAC35-105-		
		620 as defined in reviewer	D. The provider's policies and procedures shall include	
		notes.	the criteria the provider will use to:	
			1. Establish measurable goals and objectives; and	
			2. Update the provider's quality improvement plan.	
11. Was the provider's quality	Yes	A 'Yes' rating is indicated	This element will open only if element 10 is scored QI	Y
improvement plan developed	Not developed or	when the provider's	Plan does not meet the regulation.	
or reviewed in the past year?	reviewed within	quality improvement plan		
	the past 12	shows that it was	The quality improvement plan is required by 12VAC35-	
	months	developed or reviewed	105-620 C.1 Be reviewed and updated at least annually.	
		within the past 12 months		
		AND adheres to the		



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s) Does not adhere with provider's policy	 provider's policy as defined in letter D of the policy (12VAC35-105-620 D). A 'Not developed or reviewed within the past 12 months' rating is indicated when the provider quality improvement plan was not developed or reviewed within the past 12 months. A 'Does not adhere with provider's policy' rating is indicated when the 	Reviewer Notes 12VAC35-105-620 D. The provider's policies and procedures shall include the criteria the provider will use to: 1. Establish measurable goals and objectives; and 2. Update the provider's quality improvement plan.	QIP
12. Does the provider's quality improvement plan include goals and objectives?	Yes Does not include goals and objectives Does not adhere with provider's policy	provider quality improvement plan does not adhere to the provider's policy as defined in letter D (12VAC35-105-620 D). A ' Yes' rating is indicated when the provider's current quality improvement plan includes measurable goals and objectives AND adheres to the provider's policy as defined in letter D of the policy (12VAC35-105-620 D).	This element will open only if element 10 is scored the QI Plan does not meet the regulation. 12VAC35-105-620 C2. Define measurable goals and objectives 12VAC35-105-620 D. The provider's policies and procedures shall include the criteria the provider will use to: 1. Establish measurable goals and objectives; and	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		A 'Does not include goals and objectives' rating is indicated when the provider's current quality improvement plan does not include measurable goals and objectives.	2. Update the provider's quality improvement plan.	
		A 'Does not adhere with provider's policy' rating is indicated when the provider quality improvement plan does not adhere to the provider's policy as defined in letter D (12VAC35-105-620 D).		
13. Do all goals and objectives in the provider's quality improvement plan meet SMART criteria?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' is indicated if ALL the provider's quality improvement plan goals and objectives are SMART. A ' No ' is indicated if <i>any</i> of the provider's quality improvement plan goals and objectives are not SMART.	 This element will not open if element 10 is scored No. The reviewer should assess the current quality improvement plan to determine if ALL goals/objectives meet SMART criteria. This is an all-or-nothing element, meaning if a provider has multiple goals/objectives in their quality improvement plan, ALL must meet SMART criteria or the reviewer must score element No. 	Y
			SMART criteria: Specific Specific goals have a desired outcome that is clearly understood.	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
			MeasurableDefine what data will be used to measure the goal andset a method for collection.AchievableGoals need to be realistic to maintain the enthusiasmto try to achieve them.RelevantOne way to determine if the goal is relevant is to definethe key benefit to the organization.Time-BoundGoals should have a deadline.	
14. Does the provider track and review performance data?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' score is indicated when the provider documentation shows <i>the</i> <i>use</i> of quantifiable data, specifically the collection of performance data identified during their annual review of the quality improvement plan and/or annual systemic risk assessment, risk management plan, AND tracking of that performance data for at least two periods. A ' No ' score is indicated if there is no evidence the provider uses any performance data or if performance data is not	 This element is intended to assess if the provider is currently using (collecting, tracking, and reviewing) performance data in quality improvement and risk management activities. The provider's documentation in totality should show evidence of the specific data that is being collected/tracked and what mechanisms are in place to review goals tied to the data, so reviewers may need to assess a variety of documents to determine what performance data is currently being utilized by the provider for quality improvement activities. Performance data may be identified for collection and tracking in plans or meeting minutes specific to: the annual systemic risk assessment, the annual review of the quality improvement plan, review of a licensure inspection CAP, quarterly review of incidents, OR 	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		tracked for at least two periods.	• other review of the provider's quality improvement plan or risk data completed as part of the provider's quality improvement processes.	
			 Performance data collected may include but is not limited to: serious incident reporting data, abuse/neglect reporting data, seclusion/restraint reporting data, participation in community activity data, or other data collected by the provider (such as family and individual survey data or staff competency data). 	
			Performance data is quantifiable when it is measurable and systematically calculated through ongoing monitoring and evaluation of progress towards meeting established goals and objectives at each review period. DBHDS 12VAC35-105-620 C.4 Include ongoing monitoring and evaluation of progress toward meeting established goals and objectives.	
15. Does the most current provider quality improvement plan reflect the use of performance data?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' is indicated when the performance data the provider submits for review reflects how the provider includes and reports on statewide performance measures, if	This element will not open if element 10 is scored No Reviewers should review the performance data collected and tracked by the provider to determine if the data is used as listed in the current provider quality improvement plan.	Y
		applicable, as required by DBHDS and uses performance data to	DBHDS 12VAC35-105-620 C.3 Include and report on statewide performance measures, if applicable, as required by DBHDS	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		update their quality improvement plan.		
		A ' No ' is indicated when the performance data the provider submits for review does not reflect that the provider is using the performance data to update their quality improvement plan.		
16. If Yes, what performance data?	Serious Incidents Abuse/Neglect Seclusion/Restraint Participation in Community Activities None of the above	The reviewer should review the goals/objectives in the provider's quality improvement plan to determine which performance data types are used.	This element will open if element 15 is scored YES.	N
17. How does the provider track data?	DBHDS Risk Tracking Tool Commercial software Provider developed software Excel or similar spreadsheet Word document Does not track data	The reviewer should evaluate the provider's risk management and quality improvement plan or other provider submitted documentation for details regarding how they track performance data and select ALL methods the provider currently uses.	Providers may use a variety of tools to track performance data. Methods for tracking performance data and the tools used to do so <i>should</i> be part of the provider quality improvement plan; however, if a performance data tracking tool is submitted by the provider that is not listed in the quality improvement plan, the reviewer should include that tracking tool in the selection of types.	Y
18. Identify the frequency of data reviewed: serious incidents	Monthly Quarterly Annually	The reviewer will assess provider documentation to determine how often	Annually and Not Reviewed trigger a QIP	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
	Not Reviewed	performance data specific	The reviewer should evaluate provider documentation,	
		to <u>serious incidents</u> are	specifically the tool(s) that track performance data as	
		reviewed and select the	noted in element 17, to confirm serious incidents are	
		frequency of review by the	tracked and assess at what frequency the provider	
		provider as evidenced in	reviews that performance data.	
		provider performance data		
		tracking tool(s).	If performance data is reviewed incrementally AS	
			NECESSARY/other frequency, the reviewer should	
		Reviewers should select	select the frequency at which the provider <i>formally</i>	
		Not Reviewed if the	reviews aggregated performance data for internal	
		provider does not track or review serious incident	evaluation of progress toward goals/objectives.	
		data or did not provide	If a provider reviews serious incident reports as	
		data for this element.	necessary/other frequency based on the severity of	
			the incident but reviews aggregated data of serious	
			incidents quarterly, the reviewer should select	
			quarterly for this element.	
19. Identify the frequency of data	Monthly	The reviewer will assess	The reviewer should evaluate provider documentation,	Y
reviewed: abuse/neglect	Quarterly	provider documentation to	specifically the tool that tracks performance data as	
	Annually	determine how often	noted in element 17, to confirm abuse/neglect are	
	🔲 Not Reviewed	performance data specific	tracked and assess at what frequency the provider	
		to <u>abuse/neglect</u> are	reviews that performance data.	
		reviewed and select the		
		frequency of review by the	Suppose performance data is reviewed incrementally	
		provider as evidenced in	AS NECESSARY/other frequency. In that case, the	
		the provider performance	reviewer should select the frequency at which the	
		data tracking tool.	provider <i>formally</i> reviews aggregated performance	
			data for internal evaluation of progress toward	
		Reviewers should select	goals/objectives.	
		Not Reviewed if the		
		provider does not track or	If a provider reviews abuse/neglect as necessary/other	
		did not provide data for	frequency but reviews aggregated data quarterly, the	
		this element.	reviewer should select quarterly for this element.	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
20. Identify the frequency of data reviewed: seclusion and restraint	Monthly Quarterly Annually Not Reviewed	The reviewer will assess provider documentation to determine how often performance data specific to the <u>use of seclusion and</u> <u>restraint</u> are reviewed and select the frequency of review by the provider as evidenced in the provider performance data tracking tool.	The reviewer should evaluate provider documentation, specifically the tool that tracks performance data as noted in element 17, to confirm that seclusion and restraint are tracked and assess at what frequency the provider reviews that performance data. If performance data is reviewed incrementally AS NECESSARY, the reviewer should select the frequency at which the provider <i>formally reviews aggregated</i> <i>performance data for internal evaluation of progress</i> <i>toward goals/objectives</i> .	У
		Reviewers should select Not Reviewed if the provider does not track or did not provide data for this element.	If a provider reviews seclusion and restraint as necessary but reviews aggregated data quarterly, the reviewer should select quarterly for this element.	
21. Identify the frequency of review: community integration	Monthly Quarterly Annually Does not meet the definition of Community Integration Not Reviewed	The reviewer will assess provider documentation to determine how often performance data specific to <u>community integration</u> are reviewed and select the frequency of review by the provider as evidenced in the provider performance data tracking tool.	The reviewer should evaluate provider documentation, specifically the tool that tracks performance data as noted in element 17, to confirm community integration is tracked and assess at what frequency the provider reviews that performance data. If performance data is reviewed incrementally, the reviewer should select the frequency at which the provider formally reviews aggregated performance data for internal evaluation of progress toward goals/objectives.	Y
		The reviewer should select 'Does not meet the definition of Community Integration' if the data	If a provider reviews participation in community activities as necessary but reviews aggregated data quarterly, the reviewer should select quarterly for this element.	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		does not meet the requirements as outlined in the following DBHDS memo: <u>Expectations-</u> <u>regarding-provider-</u> <u>reporting-measures-and-</u> <u>risk-management-</u> <u>programs2.pdf</u> Reviewers should select Not Reviewed if the provider does not track or	Community Inclusion Means: <u>Expectations-regarding-provider-reporting-measures-and-risk-management-programs2.pdf</u>	
		did not provide data for this element.		
22. What processes are evidenced in the provider documentation that indicate how performance data was used in the development of goals/objectives? (check all that apply):	 a. Root Cause Analysis activities b. Document the baseline for improvement c. Establish a goal or target for improvement d. Establish a mechanism and process for tracking progress to improvement e. Establish a timeframe for the improvement to occur f. Develop 	 a. Provider evidence (located in meeting notes, meeting minutes, copies of employed RCA tools, QI, or risk management plan) includes potential causes for low performance were identified b. <i>and</i> c. The provider evidence includes a baseline of performance and a goal or target for improvement d. The provider evidence includes methodologies for progress tracking 	This element is intended to assess what provider processes for understanding and utilizing performance data occurred during the last year, as evidenced in their documentation, specifically the most recently completed quality improvement plan. For each activity, a-g, using the relevant descriptions, the reviewer must evaluate if the provider documentation illustrates the activity listed to determine how the provider utilized performance data to develop their current quality improvement plan and check all that apply. Examples of evidence of Root Cause Analysis: Fishbone Diagram, 5 Whys, Focus group discussions, brainstorming, Pareto chart or other activities serving as a systematic process to identify the underlying causes of problems. This evidence should be found in provider meeting	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
	based on the identified root causes g. If improvement has not occurred, the provider made changes to interventions h. None of the above processes were evidenced in the provider documentation	 e. The provider evidence includes a specific time frame to achieve the goal for improvement f. The provider evidence includes the development of interventions tied to root causes identified during the completion of RCA g. The provider evidence indicates intervention(s) were changed to address the lack of positive progress of performance data toward identified goals h. There is no evidence of a-g processes found in the submitted provider documentation. 	 the annual systemic risk assessment, the annual review of the quality improvement plan, a licensure inspection CAP, quarterly review of incidents, OR other review of the provider's quality improvement plan or risk data completed as part of the provider's quality improvement processes. QIP would describe how the provider's policy on root cause analysis is implemented (with ongoing review and revision as applicable for the agency) as part of standard practice, not just performed when looking into serious incidents; how the RCA informs goal development (according to standards) and QI work; how the provider tracks progress towards the goal and determines whether goal achievement has addressed the root cause. There should be a common thread that connects. 	
23. What is the total number of goals found in the quality improvement plan?	Number	The reviewer will add the number of goals found in both the risk management and quality improvement plans.	This element only opens if element 12 is 'Yes"	N



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
24. How many goals are met?	Number Met	The reviewer will add the number of goals found in the quality improvement plan that meet the criteria for met.	The numbers listed in elements 24-26 should add up to the total number of goals listed in element 23. A goal is considered MET when data shows movement toward <u>and achievement</u> of the goal for <u>both</u> periods OR if the goal is data stability, data shows <i>no movement</i> (no increase or decrease) for <u>both</u> periods.	N
25. How many goals are making progress?	Number Making Progress	The reviewer will add the number of goals found in the quality improvement plan that meet the criteria for making progress.	A goal is considered to be MAKING PROGRESS when data shows movement towards the goal <u>but not the</u> <u>achievement</u> of the goal for either of the two data periods OR if the goal is data stability, <i>no movement</i> for <u>at least one</u> of the two periods.	N
26. How many goals not met?	Number Not Met	The reviewer will add the number of goals found in both the risk management and quality improvement plans for not met.	A goal is considered NOT MET when data shows <u>no</u> <u>movement</u> (increase or decrease) <u>for either of the two</u> data collection periods OR if the goal is data stability, data shows <i>movement</i> (increase or decrease) for <u>either</u> of the two data periods.	N
27. Has the provider developed improvement strategies for goals not met?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' score indicates a review of the provider's QI Plan/RM Plan must be assessed to see if the provider <u>updated</u> the QI Plan/RM Plan to include developed improvement strategies for each goal not met. A ' No ' score is indicated when a review of the provider's QI Plan/RM Plan must be assessed to see if the provider did not <u>update</u> the QI Plan/RM	This element will open if element 26 is greater than zero. Reviewers must assess the document(s) (minutes, QI plan, RM plan, etc.) submitted by the provider/CSB and for any goals NOT MET (data shows no movement for either of the two data periods or if the goal is stability, movement for either of the two data periods), determine if the provider documents show evidence of the lack of progress toward the goal(s) <u>was addressed</u> by review and <u>update</u> of the QI Plan/RM Plan. This is an all or nothing element, meaning if multiple goals were NOT MET and any were not addressed via	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		Plan to include developed improvement strategies for each goal not met.	provider discussion and/or change of intervention within the QI Plan/RM Plan, the element must be scored ' No .'	
28. During their last QSR review, did the provider receive a quality enhancement plan QEP, formerly called QSR quality improvement plan (QSR QIP)?	Yes No N/A	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated if the provider received a QSR QIP A " No ' rating is indicated if the provider did not receive a QSR QIP. ' N/A' : Provider is new and has not participated in previous QSR rounds, OR provider did not have QSR QIP from the previous round, OR the QSR QIP was for elements not currently assessed in Round 7.	Reviewers must score this element based on the provider/CSB's most recent QSR QIP uploaded into SAFE.	Ν
29. If yes, was the QEP (QSR QIP) for PCR/PQR:	PCR PQR PCR/PQR	Check all that apply.	This element will only open if element 28 is 'Yes'	N
30. Has the provider implemented their QEP (QSR QIP)?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' score is indicated when the provider added the QSR QIP (QEP) into their QI Plan. A ' No ' score is indicated when the provider's QI Plan does not include the QSR QIP.	This element will only open if element 28 is 'Yes'	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
31. Have they made progress?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' score indicates the QSR QIP goal is considered to be MAKING PROGRESS when: data shows movement towards goal <u>but not achievement</u> of goal for either of the two data periods OR if the goal is data stability, <i>no</i> <i>movement</i> for <u>at least one</u> of the two periods. A ' No ' score is indicated when the provider's data shows the QSR QIP goals are not making progress or not being addressed.	This element will only open if element 30 is 'Yes'	Y
32. Does the provider have policies and procedures that address HCBS rights?	Yes No N/A	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated when the provider has a policy and procedure that addresses HCBS rights and includes a process for reviewing the policy/procedure with individuals. A ' No ' score is indicated when a provider does not have a policy and procedure that addresses all requirements of the HCBS rights or does not include the process for	HCBS Question The reviewer will indicate if the provider has a policy/procedure that addresses HCBS rights and includes a process for the policy/procedure with individuals. In-home support (In-home residential) and Independent Living Supports do not require policies specific to HCBS rights and hence will be marked as "Not Applicable."	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		reviewing the		
		policy/procedure with		
		individuals.		
		A 'N/A' r ating is indicated		
		when the provider service		
		type under review is		
		respite, In-home support,		
		or Independent Living		
		Support.		
3. If no, is the issue no policy or	No policy	The reviewer will select the	HCSB Question	Y
missing any of the HCBS	Missing one or	best choice reason for a		
required components	more of the HCBS	deficient score in the	This element will only open if the previous element is	
	requirements	previous element.	scored 'No'	
	The policy does not			
	address reviewing with		This is an all-or-nothing element	
	individuals.		All HCBS requirements must be in the policy, or you	
			would select "Missing one or more of the HCBS	
			requirements."	
			HCBS policy requirements:	
			1. Setting is integrated & Supports Full Access to the	
			Community	
			2. Rights of Privacy, Dignity, Respect & Freedom from	
			Coercion & Restraint	
			3. Optimize but does not regiment individual initiative	
			& autonomy	
			4. Facilitates choice regarding services and supports	
			and who provides them	
			5. Values, Principles, Common Language	
			6. Additional Conditions for Residential Settings	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
			https://www.dmas.virginia.gov/media/4768/hcbs- powerpoint-support-coordinators.pdf	
			https://www.dmas.virginia.gov/for-members/benefits- and-services/waivers/home-and-community-based- services-toolkit/	
34. Does the agency have policies around assurance of individual choice and self-determination?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated when the provider has a policy and procedure that demonstrates assurance of individual choice and self- determination.	This element is confirming the provider has a policy regarding assurance of individual choice and self- determination. Providers may have policies that address the concept of individual choice but phrase it otherwise; for example, policies around supported decision-making and staff's	Y
		A ' No ' provider documentation does not confirm that the provider has a policy and procedure that assures individual choice and self- determination.	role in the individual's support decision-making process.	
 35. Does the agency have policies detailing how they assure dignity of risk for individuals they serve? DBHDS Regulation: 12VAC35-115-50 Dignity. 	Yes No	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated when the provider has a policy and procedure that addresses dignity of risk and includes the rights of a person to make an informed choice, to engage	 The reviewer should confirm that the provider has a policy that addresses the following: the rights of a person to make an informed choice, to engage in experiences meaningful to him/her, and which are necessary for personal growth and development. 	Y
https://dsporientation.partnership .vcu.edu/section-i/the-value-of- dignity-of-risk/		in experiences meaningful to him/her, and which are necessary for personal growth.	The provider policy does not need to be separate from the policies addressing HCBS settings rights and individual choice and self-determination, but it must include the criteria noted above and procedures by which the provider assures implementation of the policy.	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		A ' No ' rating is indicated when the provider documentation does not confirm that the provider has a policy and procedure that addresses dignity of risk OR when the policy submitted is missing any of the three required aspects.	This is an all or nothing element; if the policy is missing any of the above criteria, the reviewer must score element NO. DBHDS Regulation: 12VAC35-115-50. Dignity. SC Manual: <u>https://dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/sccm/dd-sc-</u> manual-09202021-rev-1-final-for-online.pdf DSP Orientation Training: <u>https://dsporientation.partnership.vcu.edu/section-</u>	
36. If No, what is missing in the dignity of risk policy?	No policy submitted Policy missing rights of the person to make an informed choice Policy missing rights of the person to engage in experiences meaningful to him/her. Policy missing rights of the person to engage in experiences that are necessary for personal growth and development	The reviewer will select the Multi-select	i/the-value-of-dignity-of-risk/ This element will only open if the previous element is scored NO.	Ŷ



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
37. Does the agency have policies around medical emergencies?		A ' Yes ' rating is indicated when the provider has a policy and procedure that addresses medical emergencies. A ' No ' provider	This element is confirming the provider has a policy that defines the process for staff to follow during medical emergencies. NOTE: This is not specific to an individual—it should be a general policy for the provider. Individuals may have their protocols specific to their health needs.	Y
		documentation does not confirm that the provider has a policy and procedure that addresses medical emergencies.	A provider/CSB does not have to have separate policies for medical and behavioral health emergencies.	
38. Does the agency have policies around behavioral health emergencies?	Yes No	 A 'Yes' rating is indicated when the provider has a policy and procedure that addresses behavioral health emergencies. A 'No' provider documentation does not confirm that the provider has a policy and procedure that addresses behavioral health emergencies. 	This element is confirming the provider has a policy that defines the process for staff to follow during behavioral health emergencies. NOTE: This is not specific to an individual—it should be a general policy for the provider. Individuals may have their protocols specific to their behavioral health needs. A provider/CSB does not have to have separate policies for medical and behavioral health emergencies.	Y
39. Does the agency have policies that support individuals' participation in financial management and decision- making?	Yes No N/A	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated when the residential provider has a policy, procedure, or process that supports individual participation in financial management and decision- making.	This element is intended to assess if residential providers have a policy that outlines processes to support individual participation in financial decision- making.	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		A 'No' rating is indicated		
		when the residential		
		provider does not have a		
		policy, procedure, or		
		processes that support		
		individual participation in		
		financial management and		
		decision-making.		
		A ' N/A ' rating is indicated		
		for PQR-only providers OR		
		providers who have not		
		been selected for review of		
		a residential service.		
EMPLOYEE RECORDS TAB		-		T
40. Does the agency have a policy	Yes	A 'Yes' rating is indicated if	This element is looking for a policy and/or procedure	Y
and procedure for recruiting	No	the provider has a hiring	for recruiting and hiring staff.	
and hiring staff?		policy and procedure.		
			Providers may have one policy that details hiring	
		A ' No ' rating is indicated if	procedures, but it must detail <i>distinct procedures for</i>	
		the provider does not have	recruiting and hiring staff.	
		a hiring policy and		
41. Does the hiring policy include	Yes	procedure. A ' Yes ' rating is indicated if	This element will open only if the previous element is	Y
requirements around	No	the provider's hiring policy	scored YES.	T
background checks?		and procedure include		
background checks:		requirements for a	Reviewers should confirm that the provider's hiring	
		background check.	policy includes the requirement for background checks.	
			The element will be scored 'No' if the provider does not	
		A ' No ' rating is indicated if	include the requirement of a background check.	
		the provider's hiring policy		
		and procedure do not		



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		include requirements for a background check.		
42. Does the agency have an orientation training policy?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated if the provider has an orientation training policy	Reviewers should confirm the provider has an orientation policy.	Y
		and procedure. A ' No ' rating is indicated if	Providers may have one policy that details hiring procedures AND orientation training procedures for new employees, but it must detail distinct procedures	
		the provider does not have an orientation training policy and procedure.	for orientation training.	
43. Does the orientation training policy address all staff at all levels?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated if the provider has an orientation training policy and procedure for all staff	This element only opens if the previous element is 'Yes" Reviewers should confirm that the provider's orientation policy encompasses/addresses all staff	Y
		at all levels. A ' No ' rating is indicated if the provider does not have an orientation training policy and procedure for all staff at all levels.	employed by the agency. Providers may have one policy that details hiring procedures AND orientation training procedures for new employees, but it must detail distinct procedures for orientation training of all levels of new employees after hire.	
44. Does the agency have a written process for determining staff competence?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated if the provider has a written process for determining staff competence.	This element is confirming the provider has a process by which they determine new staff is competent to perform their job AND confirming this process is documented in writing.	Y
		A ' No ' rating is indicated if the provider does not have a written process for determining staff	This process may be an aspect of the provider's training policy or within another policy. Reviewers are confirming the presence of the process,	
		competence.	NOT evaluating if the process is adequate.	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
45. Number of employee records reviewed	Drop Down	The reviewer will use the drop-down menu to select the number of employee records reviewed (0-5).	This will be a number between zero and five.	N
46. How many employee records had proof of background checks?	Drop Down	The reviewer will use the drop-down menu to select the number of employee records with proof of background checks.	Out of the number of employees reviewed, how many had documentation of background checks? Maybe from when they were hired.	N
47. List staff without evidence of background checks	Text field	The reviewer will list the names of staff without evidence of background checks.	Reviewers should enter staff names without evidence of background checks from employee records reviewed. If all staff under review have evidence, the reviewer will leave the text box empty.	Y
48. Does the provider/CSB have a policy on annual HCBS training?	Yes No	A ' Yes ' score is indicated when a provider/CSB has a policy on annual HCBS training. A ' No ' score is indicated when a provider/CSB does not have a policy on annual HCBS training.	HCBS Question	Y
49. Has the provider/CSB implemented annual HCBS- specific training with all staff?	Yes No	*Reviewer will complete and submit a Provider Competency and Capacity Notification for the provider if the provider has not provided documentation demonstrating annual HCBS-specific training with all staff, as required.	HCBS Question Providers/CSBs must show evidence of annual HCBS training, including signed acknowledgment of HCBS training by all staff.	Y



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		A ' Yes ' rating is indicated when the provider/CSB documentation demonstrates annual HCBS-specific training for all employees was implemented by the provider.		
		A ' No ' rating is indicated when provider/CSB documentation does not demonstrate that annual HCBS training was implemented for all staff.		
50. Describe any findings of No/opportunities for improvement related to Employee records.	Text Box			
ROLLUP & INTERVIEW TAB				
51. Does the provider promote individual participation in non- large group activities?	Yes No N/A	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated if the provider is able to demonstrate or verbalize methods or strategies to promote participation in non-large group activities as determined by the individual.	HCBS Question Reviewers should consider policies or verbalized methods of promoting individual participation in non- large group activities. Does the provider offer opportunities for 1:1 outings or activities? How does the provider gather that information? How often are opportunities offered? What do these activities look like?	Y
		A ' No' rating is indicated if the provider is not able to demonstrate or verbalize methods or strategies to	A simple 'Yes" or "No' from the provider is NOT sufficient to make a determination from this section— the reviewer must ask probing questions to be able to	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		promote participation in non-large group activities as determined by the individual.	make a determination based on the provider's responses.	
		A ' N/A ' rating is indicated for PQR only providers OR providers who have not been selected for review of a residential or group day service.		
52. Does the provider encourage individual participation in community outings with people other than those with whom they live?	Yes No N/A	A ' Yes ' rating is indicated if the provider is able to demonstrate or verbalize methods or strategies to encourage participation in community outings with people other than those with whom they live, including community members.	HCBS Question Reviewers should consider policies or verbalized methods of promoting individual participation in community integration. How do they encourage participation in activities with people other than those they live with? Are they offered options? How do they decide? If the person is not interested, how often do they check back in with them to offer different options? Are they offering options based on their preferences?	Y
		A ' No ' rating is indicated if the provider is not able to demonstrate or verbalize methods or strategies to encourage participation in community outings with people other than those with whom they live.	Note that participation in activities with other group homes or disabled persons can be considered, but this element is looking for interaction with the community. A simple 'Yes" or "No' from the provider is NOT sufficient to make a determination from this section— the reviewer must ask probing questions to be able to make a determination based on the provider's responses.	
		A 'N/A ' rating is indicated for PQR only providers OR		



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		providers who have not been selected for review of a residential or group day service.		
53. Can the provider explain an individual's rights in your program?	Yes No	 A 'Yes' indicates the staff interviewed can verbalize the components of the HCBS settings rule rights or provide specific examples of implementation in their service provision. A 'No' indicates the staff interviewed are not able to verbalize the components of the HCBS settings rule rights or provide specific examples of implementation in their service provision. 	HCBS QuestionThe reviewer will confirm staff can articulate the core components of the HCBS settings rule or can provide specific examples of implementation in their service provision.Reviewers should use knowledge of the HCBS settings rule and the definition below to assess if staff are able to verbalize the concept or what, in practice, the application of the concept looks like in service provision."HCBS Settings Rule requirements are designed to ensure that people with disabilities living in the community have access to the same kind of choice and control over their own lives as those not receiving	Y
54. Is the staff able to explain the provider's process for addressing what to do when	Yes No	A ' Yes ' indicates that the staff verbalized methods or strategies of what to do	Medicaid HCBS funding." Note that this is not individual-specific but a general policy for the provider.	Y
someone is having a medical emergency?		 when someone is having a medical emergency, such as calling 911 first. A 'No' response indicates that staff were not able to verbalize what to do when someone is having a medical emergency OR the 	The reviewer will have the provider's medical emergency process on hand and assess the staff's response according to the contents.	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		provider does not have a		
		policy that addresses		
		medical emergencies.		
55. Please explain the provider's	Text field	Record interview answer	Record answers provided by staff. Please note that	Ν
process for addressing			even if the staff indicates that they have not been in	
individuals' needs when an			this situation or do not have individuals who have a	
individual is having a			"behavioral or psychiatric crisis," they should be able to	
behavioral or psychiatric crisis.			describe the agency policy on how to address it.	
56. When staff identify concerns	Text field	Record interview answer	Record answers provided by staff. Referring to any	Ν
with the process for			concerns with the provider's processes for medical,	
addressing individuals' needs			behavioral, or psychiatric crises. If they do not have any	
when an individual is having a			concerns with any of the company processes, they	
behavioral or psychiatric crisis,			should approach their answer as hypothetical: what	
does staff know how to report			would the staff do if they identified concerns with the	
those concerns?			process?	
57. How are those process	Text field	Record interview answer	Record answers provided by staff. Element refers to the	Ν
concerns addressed?			preceding element. If the staff member has a concern	
			with a process, how are those concerns addressed?	
			Element is looking to gather information on how staff	
			can communicate concerns with leadership. Do they	
			feel like they are able to discuss concerns with	
			management? Is there more than one mechanism they	
			can use to report concerns? Is there a process in place	
			for staff to address concerns with management?	
58. Please explain the onboarding	Text field	Record interview answer	Record answers provided by staff. This should include	Ν
process for new employees.			their hiring, training, and competency processes.	
			Element is looking to gather information to determine	
			if there are gaps between the process identified	
			previously with leadership and the direct care staff.	



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
59. How do you communicate your QI plan to all levels of staff?	Text field	Record interview answer	Record answers provided by staff. Reviewers should ask probing questions about how often it is communicated. What method is used to communicate the QI plan? How is feedback incorporated into the plan? Are DSPs involved in data gathering and analysis?	N
60. Describe any findings of No/opportunities for improvement related to the provider's quality service review.	Text Field			N
Case Summary				
61. Is there a concern that needs follow-up?	Yes No	Select 'Yes' when there is a concern that requires a follow-up.		N
		no concerns that require follow-up.		
62. Type of Concern	HSW PCC			N
63. Summary of HSW Alert or PCC Notification	Text field			N
64. PCC or HSW Lead Response	Text field			Ν
PQR QEP Need				
65. Does the provider need to develop a QEP?	Yes No	Select ' Yes ' if a ny of the elements listed in the reviewer notes were scored ' No .'	A QEP is indicated for the licensed provider when any of the following elements scored ' No' : 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54.	N
		Select ' No ' if none of the elements listed in the		



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		reviewer notes were		
		scored ' No .'		
66. The area's provider needs to	RM	This element will not open		N
address	QI	if the previous element was		
	Employee Training	coded ' No '		
		Select all that apply.		
		Select ' RM ' if any of the		
		following elements were		
		scored ' No ' – 5, 6, 7, 8, 9		
		Select ' QI ' if any of the		
		following elements were		
		scored ' No ' – 10, 11, 12,		
		13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20,		
		21, 22, 27, 30, 31		
		Select 'Employee Training'		
		if any of the following		
		elements were scored 'No'		
		– 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38,		
		39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 47,		
		48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54		
67. For RM	Needs a plan	This element will only open		N
	Staff not	if element 65 is 'Yes'		
	qualified/Need			
	training The plan needs	Select all that apply.		
	updating/Signed.	Select ' Needs a plan ' if the		
		following element is 'No' –		
		5		



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		Select 'Staff not qualified/Need Training' if any of the following elements are scored 'No' – 6, 7		
		Select ' Plan needs updating/signed' if any of the following elements are scored ' No' – 8, 9		
68. For QI	Needs a Plan Reviewed/Signed Has goals and objectives that are not SMART			N
	Performance Data used Implement QSR QEP (fmr. QSR QIP)	Select ' Needs a plan ' if the following element is 'No' – 10		
		Select "Reviewed/Signed' if the following element is 'No' – 11		
		Select 'Has goals and objectives that are not SMART' if any of the following elements are scored 'No' – 12, 13		
		Select ' Performance Data Used' if any of the following elements are		



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		scored ' No ' – 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27		
		Select ' Implement QSR QE P' if any of the following elements are scored ' No ' 30, 31		
69. For Employee Training	HCBS Choice Dignity Emergencies Hiring Practices Competence Community Integration	This element will only open if element 65 is 'Yes' Select all that apply. Select 'HCBS' if any of the following elements were scored 'No' – 32, 33, 48, 49, 53 Select 'Choice' if any of the following elements were scored 'No' – 34, 39 Select 'Dignity' if any of the following elements were scored 'No' – 35, 36 Select 'Emergencies' if any of the following elements		N
		were scored ' No ' – 37, 38, 54 Select ' Hiring Practices ' if any of the following		



PQR Tool Element	Allowable Value(s)	Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Notes	QIP
		elements were scored 'No'		
		- 40, 41, 42, 43, 47		
		Select "Competence' if the		
		following element is 'No' –		
		44		
		Select ' Community		
		Integration' if any of the		
		following elements were		
		scored ' No ' – 51, 52		